nell & Wilmer

ORIGINAL



RECEIVED BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

7005 FEB -21P 4:49

28

2

1

3

45

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23 24

25

26

<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman AZ CORP COMMISSION MARC SPITZER WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON

KRISTIN K. MAYES

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 0 2 2005

DOCKETED BY

CAF

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, TO EXTEND ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATES OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AT CASA GRANDE AND COOLIDGE, PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WOODRUFF WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVDE WATER SERVICE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WOODRUFF UTILITY COMPANY, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE SWER SERVICE

DOCKET NO. W-01445A-04-0755

DOCKET NO. W-04264A-04-0438

04265A DOCKET NO. SW-04-265A-04-0439

WOODRUFF WATER COMPANY INC. AND WOODRUFF UTILITY COMPANY, INC.,S REPLY TO STAFF'S RESPONSE TO ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S MOTION FOR A PROCEDURAL ORDER

Woodruff Water Company, Inc. ("Woodruff Water") and Woodruff Utility Company, Inc. (Woodruff Utility) (collectively referred to as "Woodruff") hereby files its Reply to Staff's Response to Arizona Water Company's ("Arizona Water") Motion for a Procedural Order.

Woodruff supports Staff position that prefiled testimony is unnecessary in this proceeding. The procedure set forth in the January 31st Procedural Order is reasonable and sufficient for the parties to fully present their positions to the Commission. The Applicants have filed information sufficient for the Staff to prepare its report, which must be filed by March 3, 2005. If the Applicants disagree with the Staff Report, they must file written objections. The process that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

currently ordered will allow the parties put forward their positions prior to hearing for the benefit of the parties, the public, the Administrative Law Judge and the Commissioners. The evidentiary hearing will allow the parties the opportunity to present their positions through the direct and cross-examination of witnesses and the presentation of exhibits. Woodruff agrees with Staff that this established procedure will provide the parties due process and the Commission with the information necessary to make their determination in this matter.¹

Additionally, to require prefiled testimony would only further delay a decision in this matter. Staff correctly points out that if prefiled testimony is required, the Applicants' testimony would be filed prior to Staff filing its position. Woodruff Water's and Woodruff Utility's applications for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity have pending at this Commission for several months. The matter was set for hearing in late November. However this hearing was delayed because on October 19, 2004, Arizona Water Company filed an application to extend its Certificates(s) to provide water service included the area in which Woodruff was seeking to provide both water and sewer service. The Woodruff applications were consolidated with the Arizona Water application and the procedural schedule that had been established by the October 14th Procedural Order was vacated. It was not until January 20th that Staff issued a notice of administrative sufficiency to Arizona Water.

Currently the hearing on this matter is set for April 20, 2005 – a full five months later than the original hearing. To now include a requirement to file testimony would most likely require a delay in the adjudication of this matter. The potential customers in the disputed area in the above captioned CC&N matters have specifically requested that Woodruff Water and Woodruff Utility provide them with water and sewer service. Arizona Water's application to extend their CC&N into the same area, to provide only water service, has already caused delay in the adjudication of

26

²⁴ 25

¹Woodruff's applications were filed on June 10, 2004 and Staff issued a letter of administrative completeness on October 7th.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

additional unnecessary delay. Woodruff urges the Administrative Law Judge to deny Arizona Water's motion and allow this matter to continue as currently scheduled. RESPECTFULLY submitted this 2nd day of February, 2005. The original and seventeen (17) copies of the foregoing were filed this 2nd day of February, 2005. Docket Control

SNELL & WILMER Deborah R. Scott One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 Attorneys for Woodruff Water Company A COPY of the foregoing was hand-delivered this 2nd day of February, 2005, to:

To now complicate the hearing schedule with prefiled testimony will only cause

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	James Fisher
	Utilities Division
2	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
3	1200 West Washington
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	A COPY of the foregoing was mailed
5	this 2nd day of February, 2005, to:
,	uns 2nd day of reordary, 2003, to.
6	Christopher C. Kempley, Chief Counsel
7	Legal Division
′ 1	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
8	1200 West Washington Street
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	
10	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
11	1200 West Washington Street
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Thouling Thizona 00007
13	Robert W. Geake
	Vice President and General Counsel
14	ARIZONA WATER COMPANY
15	P.O. Box 29006
	Phoenix, AZ 85038-9006
16	Steven A. Hirsch
17	Bryan Cave LLP
- '	Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200
18	Phoenix, AZ 85004-9006
19	
17	Marvin S. Cohen
20	Sacks Tierney, P.A.
0.1	4250 N. Drinkwater Blvd., Floor 4
21	Scottsdale, AZ 85251-3693
22	Poymond S. Hayman, Esa
	Raymond S. Heyman, Esq. Michael W. Patten, Esq.
23	Roshka Heyman & DeWulf
24	400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 800
	Phoenix, AZ 85004
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Denis Fitzgibbons Coolidge City Attorney 711 E. Cottonwood, Suite E Casa Grande, AZ 85230-1208
K. Scott McCoy Casa Grande City Attorney 510 E. Florence Blvd. Casa Grande, AZ 85222
Timothy J. Sabo Diane M. Targovnik Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Asa Dunger